arXiv:1411.3548v1 [astro-ph.GA] 13 Nov 2014

Baltic Astronomy, vol. 99, 999–999, 2014

DETERMINATION OF THE SOLAR GALACTOCENTRIC DISTANCE FROM MASERS KINEMATICS

A.T. Bajkova[1] and V.V. Bobylev[1,2]

[1] *Central (Pulkovo) Astronomical Observatory of RAS, 65/1 Pulkovskoye Ch., St. Petersburg, Russia; anisabajkova@rambler.ru*

[2] *Sobolev Astronomical Institute, St. Petersburg State University, Bibliotechnaya pl.2, St. Petersburg, Russia; vbobylev@gao.spb.ru*

Received: 2014 December 99; accepted: 2014 December 99

Abstract. We have determined the Galactic rotation parameters and the solar Galactocentric distance R_0 by simultaneously solving Bottlinger's kinematic equations using data on masers with known line-of-sight velocities and highly accurate trigonometric parallaxes and proper motions measured by VLBI. Our sample includes 93 masers spanning the range of Galactocentric distances R from 3 to 15 kpc. The solutions found are $\Omega_0 = 29.7 \pm 0.5$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-1}, $\Omega_0' = -4.20 \pm 0.11$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-2}, $\Omega_0'' = 0.730 \pm 0.029$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-3}, and $R_0 = 8.03 \pm 0.12$ kpc. In this case, the linear rotation velocity at the solar distance R_0 is $V_0 = 238 \pm 6$ km s^{-1}.

Key words: Masers – Galaxy: kinematics and dynamics – galaxies: individual: Solar distance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Both kinematic and geometric characteristics are important for studying the Galaxy, with the solar Galactocentric distance R_0 being the most important among them. Various data are used to determine the Galactic rotation parameters. These include the line of sight velocities of neutral and ionized hydrogen clouds with their distances estimated by the tangential point method (Clemens 1985; McClure-Griffiths & Dickey 2007; Levine et al. 2008), Cepheids with the distance scale based on the period–luminosity relation, open star clusters and OB associations with photometric distances (Mishurov & Zenina 1999; Rastorguev et al. 1999; Zabolotskikh et al. 2002; Bobylev et al. 2008; Mel'nik and Dambis 2009), and masers with their trigonometric parallaxes measured by VLBI (Reid et al. 2009a; McMillan & Binney 2010; Bobylev & Bajkova 2010; Bajkova & Bobylev 2012).

The solar Galactocentric distance R_0 is often assumed to be known in a kinematic analysis of data, because not all of the kinematic data allow R_0 to be reliably estimated. In turn, different (including direct) methods of analysis give different values of R_0.

Reid (1993) published a review of the R_0 measurements made by then by various methods. He divided all measurements into primary, secondary, and indirect ones and obtained the "best value" as a weighted mean of the published measurements over a period of 20 years: $R_0 = 8.0 \pm 0.5$ kpc. Nikiforov (2004) proposed

a more complete three-dimensional classification in which the type of R_0 determination method, the method of finding the reference distances, and the type of reference objects are taken into account. Taking into account the main types of errors and correlations associated with the classes of measurements, he obtained the "best value" $R_0 = 7.9 \pm 0.2$ kpc by analyzing the results of various authors published between 1974 and 2003.

Based on 52 results published between 1992 and 2010, Foster & Cooper (2010) obtained the mean $R_0 = 8.0 \pm 0.4$ kpc. Francis & Anderson (2013) gave a summary of 135 publications devoted to the R_0 determination between 1918 and 2013. They concluded that the results obtained after 2000 give a mean value of R_0 close to 8.0 kpc.

We have some experience of determining R_0 by simultaneously solving Bottlinger's kinematic equations with the Galactic rotation parameters. To this end, we used data on open star clusters (Bobylev et al. 2007) distributed within about 4 kpc of the Sun. Clearly, using masers belonging to regions of active star formation and distributed in a much wider region of the Galaxy for this purpose is of great interest. However, the first such analysis for a sample of 18 masers performed by McMillan & Binney (2010) showed the probable value of R_0 to be within a fairly wide range, 6.7–8.9 kpc. At present, the number of masers with measured trigonometric parallaxes has increased (Reid et al. 2014), which must lead to a significant narrowing of this range.

The goal of this paper is to determine the Galactic rotation parameters and the distance R_0 using data on masers with measured trigonometric parallaxes.

2. METHOD

Here, we use a rectangular Galactic coordinate system with the axes directed away from the observer toward the Galactic center (l=0°, b=0°, the X axis), in the direction of Galactic rotation ((l=90°, b=0°, the Y axis), and toward the north Galactic pole ($b = 90°$, the Z axis).

The method of determining the kinematic parameters consists in minimizing a quadratic functional F :

$$\begin{array}{l}\min\ F = \\ \sum_{j=1}^{N}[w_r^j(V_r^j - \hat{V}_r^j)]^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N}[w_l^j(V_l^j - \hat{V}_l^j)]^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N}[w_b^j(V_b^j - \hat{V}_b^j)]^2\end{array} \quad (1)$$

provided that the following constraints derived from Bottlinger's formulas with an expansion of the angular velocity of Galactic rotation Ω into a series to terms of the second order of smallness with respect to r/R_0 are fulfilled:

$$\begin{array}{l}V_r = -u_\odot \cos b \cos l - v_\odot \cos b \sin l - w_\odot \sin b \\ +R_0(R - R_0) \sin l \cos b \Omega_0' + 0.5 R_0 (R - R_0)^2 \sin l \cos b \Omega_0'',\end{array} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{array}{l}V_l = u_\odot \sin l - v_\odot \cos l + (R - R_0)(R_0 \cos l - r \cos b)\Omega_0' \\ +(R - R_0)^2 (R_0 \cos l - r \cos b) 0.5 \Omega_0'' - r \Omega_0 \cos b,\end{array} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{array}{l}V_b = u_\odot \cos l \sin b + v_\odot \sin l \sin b - w_\odot \cos b \\ -R_0(R - R_0) \sin l \sin b \Omega_0' - 0.5 R_0 (R - R_0)^2 \sin l \sin b \Omega_0'',\end{array} \quad (4)$$

where N is the number of objects used; j is the current object number; V_r and V_l, V_b are the model values of the three-dimensional velocity field: the line-of-sight

velocity and the proper motion velocity components in the l and b directions, respectively; $V_l = 4.74r\mu_l \cos b$, $V_b = 4.74r\mu_b$ are the measured components of the velocity field (data), with $\hat{V}_r^j, \hat{V}_l^j$ and $\hat{V}_b^j$, where the coefficient 4.74 is the quotient of the number of kilometers in an astronomical unit and the number of seconds in a tropical year; w_r^j, w_l^j, w_b^j are the weight factors; r is the heliocentric distance of the star calculated via the measured parallax π, $r = 1/\pi$; the star's proper motion components $\mu_l \cos b$ and μ_b are in mas yr^{-1} (milliarcseconds per year), the line-of-sight velocity V_r is in km s^{-1}; $u_\odot, v_\odot, w_\odot$ are the stellar group velocity components relative to the Sun taken with the opposite sign (the velocity u is directed toward the Galactic center, v is in the direction of Galactic rotation, w is directed to the north Galactic pole); R_0 is the Galactocentric distance of the Sun; R is the distance from the star to the Galactic rotation axis,

$$R^2 = r^2 \cos^2 b - 2R_0 r \cos b \cos l + R_0^2. \tag{5}$$

Ω_0 is the angular velocity of rotation at the distance R_0; the parameters Ω_0' and Ω_0'' are the first and second derivatives of the angular velocity with respect to R, respectively.

The weight factors in functional (1) are assigned according to the following expressions (for simplification, we omitted the index i):

$$w_r = S_0/\sqrt{S_0^2 + \sigma_{V_r}^2}, \quad w_l = \beta S_0/\sqrt{S_0^2 + \sigma_{V_l}^2}, \quad w_b = \gamma S_0/\sqrt{S_0^2 + \sigma_{V_b}^2}, \tag{6}$$

where S_0 denotes the dispersion averaged over all observations, which has the meaning of a "cosmic" dispersion taken to be 8 km s^{-1}; $\beta = \sigma_{V_r}/\sigma_{V_l}$ and $\gamma = \sigma_{V_r}/\sigma_{V_b}$ are the scale factors, where $\sigma_{V_r}, \sigma_{V_l}$ and σ_{V_b} denote the velocity dispersions along the line of sight, the Galactic longitude, and the Galactic latitude, respectively. The system of weights (6) is close to that from Mishurov & Zenina (1999). We take $\beta = \gamma = 1$ according by Bobylev & Bajkova (2014).

The errors of the velocities V_l and V_b are calculated from the formula

$$\sigma_{(V_l,V_b)} = 4.74r\sqrt{\mu_{l,b}^2\left(\frac{\sigma_r}{r}\right)^2 + \sigma_{\mu_{l,b}}^2}. \tag{7}$$

The problem of optimizing functional (1), given Eqs. (2)–(4), is solved numerically for the seven unknown parameters $u_\odot$, $v_\odot$, $w_\odot$, Ω_0, Ω_0', Ω_0'', and R_0 from a necessary condition for the existence of an extremum. A sufficient condition for the existence of an extremum in a particular domain is the positive definiteness of the Hessian matrix composed of the elements $\{a_{i,j}\} = d^2F/dx_i dx_j$, where $x_i (i = 1, ..., 7)$ denote the sought-for parameters, everywhere in this domain. We calculated the Hessian matrix in a wide domain of parameters or, more specifically, $\pm 50\%$ of the nominal values of the parameters.

Our analysis of the Hessian matrix for both cases of weighting showed its positive definiteness, suggesting the existence of a global minimum in this domain and, as a consequence, the uniqueness of the solution. As an example, Fig. 1 shows the two-dimensional residuals, or the square root of the functional F, with one of the measurements being specified by the parameter R_0 and one of the parameters $u_\odot, v_\odot, w_\odot, \Omega_0, \Omega_0'$, and Ω_0'', acting as the second measurement, provided that the



Fig. 1. Graphical representation of the two-dimensional residuals $\delta = \sqrt{F}$ corresponding to solution (9); one of the measurements is specified by the parameter R_0; one of the parameters $u_\odot, v_\odot, w_\odot, \Omega_0, \Omega_0', \Omega_0''$ acts as the second measurement; the remaining parameters are fixed at the level of the solutions obtained.

remaining parameters from the series are fixed at the level of the solution obtained. The presented pictures clearly demonstrate a global minimum in a wide domain of parameters. In the case of unit weight factors, the Hessian matrix is also positively defined far beyond this domain. However, as will be shown below, the adopted weighting allowed the accuracy of the solutions obtained to be increased.

We estimated the errors of the sought-for parameters through Monte Carlo simulations. The errors were estimated by performing 100 cycles of computations. For this number of cycles, the mean values of the solutions virtually coincide with the solutions obtained purely from the initial data, i.e., without adding any measurement errors.

3. DATA

Based on published data, we gathered information about the coordinates, line-of-sight velocities, proper motions, and trigonometric parallaxes of Galactic masers measured by VLBI with an error, on average, less than 10%. These masers are associated with very young objects, protostars of mostly high masses located in regions of active star formation.

One of the projects to measure the trigonometric parallaxes and proper motions is the Japanese VERA (VLBI Exploration of Radio Astrometry) project devoted to the observations of H_2O masers at 22.2 GHz (Hirota et al. 2007) and a number of SiO masers (which are very few among young objects) at 43 GHz (Kim et al. 2008).

Methanol (CH_3OH, 6.7 and 12.2 GHz) and H_2O masers are observed in the USA on VLBA (Reid et al. 2009a). Similar observations are also being carried out within the framework of the European VLBI network (Rygl et al. 2010), in which three Russian antennas are involved: Svetloe, Zelenchukskaya, and Badary. These two programs enter into the BeSSeL project[1] (Bar and Spiral Structure Legacy Survey, Brunthaler et al. 2011).

Initial data on 103 masers was taken from Reid et al. (2014).

4. RESULTS

Using the three-dimensional maser velocity field for sample of 103 masers for equations with seven unknowns and weights (6) we obtained the following solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(u_\odot, v_\odot, w_\odot) = (5.20, 17.47, 7.73) \pm (0.74, 0.72, 0.32) \text{ km s}^{-1},\\
&\Omega_0 = 29.74 \pm 0.45 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1},\\
&\Omega_0' = -4.20 \pm 0.11 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2},\\
&\Omega_0'' = 0.730 \pm 0.029 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-3},\\
&R_0 = 8.03 \pm 0.12 \text{ kpc},\\
&\sigma_0 = 10.59 \text{ km s}^{-1},\\
&N_\star = 93.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Note, that in this case, ten sources (G000.67-00.03, G010.47+00.02, G010.62-00.38, G023.70-00.19, G025.70+00.04, G027.36-00.16, G009.62+00.19, G012.02-00.03, G078.12+03.63, G168.06+00.82) were rejected according to the 3σ criterion. From this solution the linear rotation velocity at the solar distance R_0 is $V_0 = 238 \pm 6$ km s^{-1} and the Oort constants $A = 0.5R_0\Omega_0'$ and $B = \Omega_0 + 0.5R_0\Omega_0'$ are $A = -16.86 \pm 0.45$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-1} and $B = 12.88 \pm 0.63$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-1}.

As a clear illustration of the uniqueness of the solution obtained (i.e., the existence of a global minimum of the functional F in a wide range of sought for parameters), Fig. 1 presents the two-dimensional dependence of the residuals $\delta = \sqrt{F}$ (see (1)) on R_0 and one of the parameters $u_\odot, v_\odot, w_\odot, \Omega_0, \Omega_0'$, and Ω_0'', provided that the remaining parameters are fixed at the level of solutions (8).

Figure 2 presents the Galactic rotation curve constructed with parameters (8) using the value of $R_0 = 8.03$ kpc found; when calculating the boundaries of the confidence region, we took into account the uncertainty in estimating R_0 of 0.12 kpc.

[1] http://www3.mpifr-bonn.mpg.de/staff/abrunthaler/BeSSeL/index.shtml

Table 1. Kinematic parameters found by using the three-dimensional velocity field.

Parameters		$4 < R < 12$ kpc	$e_\pi/\pi < 12\%$	
$u_\odot$, km s^{-1}	6.85 ± 0.75	5.65 ± 0.72	6.04 ± 0.77	7.83 ± 0.79
$v_\odot$, km s^{-1}	14.31 ± 0.65	15.48 ± 0.73	14.23 ± 0.81	13.25 ± 0.75
$w_\odot$, km s^{-1}	7.74 ± 0.35	8.45 ± 0.38	8.24 ± 0.42	9.18 ± 0.43
Ω_0, km s^{-1} kpc^{-1}	29.55 ± 0.45	29.49 ± 0.43	29.76 ± 0.48	29.39 ± 0.46
$\Omega_0^{'}$, km s^{-1} kpc^{-2}	-3.86 ± 0.08	-4.36 ± 0.11	-4.05 ± 0.12	-3.76 ± 0.10
$\Omega_0^{''}$, km s^{-1} kpc^{-3}	0.59 ± 0.02	0.95 ± 0.05	0.68 ± 0.03	0.56 ± 0.02
R_0, kpc	8.25 ± 0.41	7.84 ± 0.13	8.10 ± 0.13	8.46 ± 0.12
σ_0, km s^{-1}	12.49	9.97	9.60	8.86
$N_\star$	101	88	78	80

We also obtained a few solutions satisfying various limitations on data (see Table 1). The results shown might be of some interest. In the second column of the table we have the solution, obtained with the use of nearly all masers ($N = 101$), only two masers (G000.67-00.03, G010.47+00.02) with the most unreliable velocities were rejected. In the third and fourth columns there are the solutions obtained with the limitation on the star galactocentric distance R and with a limited precision of parallaxes, e_π/π. The first solution has a significant error unit of weight σ_0, in other cases, this value is significantly less. Therefore we consider the first solution as the most unreliable. In the last column we give the results obtained when neglecting 23 masers that were flagged as outliers by Reid et al. (2014). As it is seen other three solutions do not have principal differences. In our opinion, the solution (8) obtained from maximum amount of initial masers ($N = 103$) is of most interest.

5. DISCUSSION

The parameters of the Galactic rotation curve we found (8) are in good agreement with the results of analyzing such young Galactic disk objects as OB associations, $\Omega_0 = 31 \pm 1$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-1} (Mel'nik & Dambis 2009), blue supergiants, $\Omega_0 = 29.6 \pm 1.6$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-1} and $\Omega_0' = -4.76 \pm 0.32$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-2} (Zabolotskikh et al. 2002), or distant OB3 stars ($R_0 = 8$ kpc), $\Omega_0 = 31.9 \pm 1.1$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-1}, $\Omega_0^{'} = -4.30 \pm 0.16$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-2} and $\Omega_0^{''} = 1.05 \pm 0.35$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-3} (Bobylev & Bajkova 2013). The solution (8) is in good agreement with $V_0 = 254 \pm 16$ km s^{-1} at $R_0 = 8.4$ kpc (Reid et al. 2009a) and $V_0 = 244 \pm 13$ km s^{-1} for $R_0 = 8.2$ kpc (Bovy et al. 2009) determined from a sample of 18 masers. Note also the paper by Irrgang et al. (2013), who proposed three Galactic potential models constructed using data on hydrogen clouds and masers, with the velocity V_0 having been found to be close to 240 km s^{-1} and $R_0 \approx 8.3$ kpc.

Individual independent methods give an estimate of R_0 with an error of 10–15%. Note several important isolated measurements. Based on POPULATION-II Cepheids and RR Lyr stars belonging to the bulge and using improved calibrations derived from Hipparcos data and 2MASS photometry, Feast et al. (2008) obtained an estimate of $R_0 = 7.64 \pm 0.21$ kpc. Having analyzed the orbits of stars moving around a supermassive black hole at the Galactic center (the method of dynamical parallaxes), Gillessen et al. (2009) obtained an estimate of $R_0 = 8.33 \pm 0.35$ kpc. According to VLBI measurements, the radio source Sqr A* has a proper motion relative to extragalactic sources of 6.379 ± 0.026 mas yr^{-1} (Reid & Brunthaler



Fig. 2. Galactic rotation curve constructed with parameters (8) (thick line); the thin lines mark the 1σ confidence region; the vertical straight line marks the Sun's position.

2004); using this value, Schönrich (2012) found $R_0 = 8.27 \pm 0.29$ kpc and $V_0 = 238 \pm 9$ km s^{-1}. Two H_2O maser sources (Sgr B2), are in the immediate vicinity of the Galactic center, where the radio source Sqr A* is located. Based on their direct trigonometric VLBI measurements, Reid et al. (2009b) obtained an estimate of $R_0 = 7.9^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ kpc.

Using 73 masers Bobylev & Bajkova (2014) obtained an kinematic estimate of $R_0 = 8.3 \pm 0.2$ kpc and $V_0 = 241 \pm 7$ km s^{-1}. Based on 80 maser sources, Reid et al. (2014) obtained an kinematic estimate of $R_0 = 8.34 \pm 0.16$ kpc and $V_0 = 240 \pm 8$ km s^{-1}. Thus, our kinematic estimate of $R_0 = 8.03 \pm 0.12$ kpc is in good agreement with the known estimates and surpasses some of them in accuracy.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Based on published data, we produced a sample of masers with known line-of-sight velocities and highly accurate trigonometric parallaxes and proper motions measured by VLBI. This allowed the maser velocity field needed to solve Bottlinger's kinematic equations to be formed. Bottlinger's kinematic equations we considered relate the Galactic rotation parameters (Ω_0 and its derivatives), the solar Galactocentric distance (R_0), the object group velocity components relative to the Sun ($u_\odot, v_\odot, w_\odot$). The method of minimizing the quadratic functional that is the sum of the weighted squares of the residuals of measurements and model velocities was used to find the unknown parameters. Solutions were found in the cases of both three-dimensional and two-dimensional velocity fields for various numbers of sought-for parameters when various weighting methods were applied. We established that the solution obtained from the three-dimensional maser velocity field for seven sought-for parameters ($u_\odot, v_\odot, w_\odot, \Omega_0, \Omega_0', \Omega_0''$, and R_0) corresponding to the global minimum of the functional in a wide range of their variations is most reliable. This solution is (8). The linear Galactic rotation velocity at the solar distance R_0 is $V_0 = 238 \pm 6$ km s^{-1}. The solar Galactocentric distance R_0 is the most important and debatable parameter. Our value $R_0 = 8.03 \pm 0.12$ kpc is in good agreement with the most recent estimates and even surpasses them in accuracy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This work was supported by the "Nonstationary Phenomena in Objects of the Universe" Program P–21 of the Presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

REFERENCES

Bajkova A.T., Bobylev V.V. 2012, Astron. Lett. 38, 549
Bobylev V.V., Bajkova A.T., Lebedeva S.V. 2007, Astron. Lett. 33, 720
Bobylev V.V., Bajkova A.T., Stepanishchev A.S. 2008, Astron. Lett. 34, 515
Bobylev V.V., Bajkova A.T. 2010, MNRAS, 408,1788
Bobylev V.V., Bajkova A.T. 2013, Astron. Lett. 39, 532
Bobylev V.V., Bajkova A.T. 2014, Astron. Lett. 40, 389
Bovy J., Hogg D.W., Rix H.-W. 2009, ApJ, 704, 1704
Brunthaler A., Reid M.J., Menten K.M., et al. 2011, AN, 332, 461
Clemens D.P. 1985, ApJ, 295, 422
Feast M.W., Laney C.D., Kinman T.D., et al. 2008, MNRAS 386, 2115
Foster T., Cooper B. 2010, ASP Conf. Ser. 438, 16
Francis C., Anderson E. 2013, arXiv:1309.2629
Gillessen S., Eisenhauer F., Trippe S. 2009, et al., ApJ, 692, 1075
Hirota T., Bushimata T., Choi Y.K., et al. 2007, PASJ, 59, 897
Irrgang A., Wilcox B., Tucker E., et al. 2013, A&A, 549, 137
Kim M.K., Hirota T., Honma M., et al. 2008, PASJ, 60, 991
Levine E.S., Heiles C., Blitz L. 2008, ApJ, 679, 1288
McClure-Griffiths N.M., Dickey J.M. 2007, ApJ, 671, 427
McMillan P.J., Binney J.J. 2010, MNRAS, 402, 934
Mel'nik A.M., Dambis A.K. 2009, MNRAS, 400, 518
Mishurov Yu.N., Zenina I.A. 1999, A&A, 341, 81
Nikiforov I.I. 2004, ASP Conf. Ser. 316, 199
Rastorguev A.S., Glushkova E.V., Dambis A.K., et al. 1999, Astron. Lett. 25, 595
Reid M.J. 1993, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 31, 345
Reid M.J., Brunthaler A. 2004, ApJ, 616, 872
Reid M.J., Menten K.M., Zheng X.W., et al. 2009a, ApJ, 700, 137
Reid M.J., Menten K.M., Zheng X.W., et al. 2009b, ApJ, 705, 1548
Reid M.J., Menten K.M., Brunthaler A., et al. 2014, ApJ, 783, 130
Rygl K.L.J., Brunthaler A., Reid M.J., et al. 2010, A&A, 511, A2
Schönrich R. 2012, MNRAS, 427, 274
Zabolotskikh M.V., Rastorguev A.S., Dambis A.K. 2002, Astron. Lett. 28, 454